

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter aims at stating the result of the analysis of this research; it includes both conclusion and suggestion.

#### A. Conclusion

In this study, the researcher has analysed the types and the dominant types of illocutionary acts by following the theory of Searle (1796). The illocutionary act divided into 5 types consist of directive, expressive, representative, commissive and declarative. In the data analysis, the researcher finds five types of illocutionary acts that classified into directive, expressive, representative, commissive and declarative.

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that there are 64 utterances or 49,2% that is categorized into directive, 30 utterances or 23,1% that is categorized into expressive, 20 utterances or 15,4% that is categorized into representative, 14 utterances or 10,8% of commissive, and 2 utterances or 1,5% that is categorized into declarative. As a result, directive act is the dominant types used by the characters in *Now You See Me* movie.

## B. Suggestion

The researcher has done to analyzed types of illocutionary acts in *Now You See Me* movie. Based on the conclusion, some suggestion will be directed toward to the Linguistic students, the readers and the next researcher.

### 1. For the Linguistic Students

For the linguistic students should pay much attention to the concept of pragmatics especially Illocutionary acts because it requires a deep understanding, as it studies not only the meanings of the words, but the speaker's meaning also through the utterance. By understanding pragmatics, they are able to understand different of speech act performance under particular social condition.

### 2. For the Readers

The researcher hopes that the reader would not be confused about what people means when they make conversation with them or others because in communication the people implied the intended meaning in their speech.

### 3. For the next Researcher

For the next researchers may conduct further research about the Illocutionary acts since the findings of this research needs to be enriched because the researcher does not cover all of the aspects of types illocutionary acts viewed from pragmatics study. Criticisms and suggestions are useful to build this research better.