

## CHAPTER IV

### RESEACRH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter provides research findings and discussion of data analysis to find out how implicature are organized in *Suzanne Collins' Mockingjay*.

#### A. Research Findings

The researcher describes the research findings in descriptive analysis of each data to describe how implicature are organized in in *Suzanne Collins' Mockingjay*. The researcher concerns in conventional and conversational. In conversational the researcher reduces into generalized conversational implicature (GCI) and particularized conversational implicature (PCI). Then each conversational implicature utterance is scrutinized on how application based on the type of maxims (Grice's Cooperative principle). And the researcher describes when speaker flout the co-operative principles, it shows that speaker has intention to do that or not. Then each utterance flouted is scrutinized into four types, they are flouting, violating, infringe and option-out.

##### 1. Type of Implicatures Found in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay*

This research finds some types of implicature. They are conversational implicature and conversational implicature which divided into generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature.

#### 4.1 Table of implicature

No.	Type of Implicature	Freq
1.	Conventional Implicature	3
2.	Conversational Implicature:	
	a. Generalized Conversational Implicature	
	b. Particularized Conversational Implicature	65
<b>TOTAL</b>		83

##### a. Conventional Implicature

The researcher shows conventional implicature. The researcher found two patterns of conventional implicature.

###### 1) Pattern 1

The data analysis below represents pattern 1 of conventional implicature.

This descriptive analysis is about data dialogue of data 1 and data 2 which is shown controversial between two expressions that separated by conjunction “but”.

###### a) Data 1

*“That was it. Clear and simple.” Peeta’s finger trace the upholstered pattern on the arm of the chair. “But other people had plans as well”* (Chapter 2 p.20)

Context: The utterance in data 1 is stated by Peeta Mellark as supporting character in the novel. He is talking in the talk show with Caesar. He is telling about incident in *Quarter Quell*. And he is telling about his plan in the *Quarter Quell* that could not happen as the plan.

The utterance in data 1 is categorized in conventional implicature since they can be easily understood as semantic meaning. Peeta’s utterance has two conditions they are Peeta has plan and so do other people. Peeta’s plan is clear and simple that is to keep Katniss safe, besides the other people have plan to keep

Katniss safe too. Meanwhile, there is contrast conjoined proposition between statement "but". "*That was it. Clear and simple.*" This statement is Peeta's plan, he wants to keep Katniss alive. But in the same condition there is another people who has another purpose try to save Katniss too, it explains by Peeta's statement "*But other people had plans as well*".

Moreover, it is controversial between two plans in different person. First, Peeta who is playing game with Katniss and second other people that are rebellion. The same plan that is keep Katniss alive and different person who can keep her live. Besides the speaker intention does not imply in figure of speech or maxims flouting.

So, it can be concluded that data 1 is conventional implicature by controversial between conjunction in the utterance.

## 2) Data 2

*"Yeah, this is the deal. I'll be your Mockingjay."*

*"But I have some conditions."* (Chapter 3 p.37)

Context: The utterance said by Katniss Everdeen in meeting about icon of rebellion as mockingjay. She will be their mockingjay but she has some conditions that should be agreed for everyone. In this situation some conditions make some controversy with president of district 13. Finally, they agree all of conditions that Katniss want.

The utterance in data 2 is categorized in conventional implicature since they can be easily understood as semantic meaning. Her statement looks controversial, she is mockingjay therefore she has some conditions or she is

mockingjay with her conditions. But it depend on the context that conversations show that if she will be mockingjay the conditions will be approved, this declaration does not work if one of the conditions approved. Her statement *But I have some conditions*, there is further situation to consider in the present.

Moreover, her statement does not show figure of speech or flouting maxims. This statement does not show that Katniss says that because she has something secret that make her says it. And she use “Mockingjay” word that has meaning of rebellion, this icon uses for unifier each district to get their freedom.

So, it can be conclude that data 2 is conventional implicature by the statement looks controversial and new terminology of “mockingjay” word.

## 2) Pattern 2

The data analysis below represents pattern 2 of conventional implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data dialogue of data 3 is shown two expression between conjunction “or”.

*“They'll be granted immunity!” I feel myself rising from my chair, my voice full and resonant. “You will personally pledge this in front of the entire population of district thirteen and the remainder of twelve soon. Today. It will be recorded for future generations. You will hold yourself and your government responsible for their safety, or you'll find yourself another Mockingjay!”* (Chapter 3p.40)

Context: the utterance said by Katniss Everdeen who is main character in this story. She says it in the meeting and she has debate with president of district 13. She asserts her statement that she will be their icon of rebellion if they agree with this.

There is contrast between conjoined proposition, we can see proposition before “or” and after “or”. Before “or” Katniss’ intention is she is mockingjay and president of district 13 announce her conditions. Then after “or” she is not mockingjay and the president of 13 do not announce her statement. But Katniss’ intention is she wants to be their icon as mockingjay and president do what she must. Moreover the speaker’s intention is easily understand by the readers.

So, it can be concluded that data 2 is conventional implicature by the statement looks controversial by the conjunction “or” and different proposition.

### **b. Conversational Implicature**

Conversational implicature is more than conventional implicature or literal meaning of the wording. In this research conversational implicature found two types, generalized conversational implicature which found nine patterns of maxims organizations and particularized conversational implicature which found thirteen patterns of maxims of organizations.

#### 1) Generalized Conversational Implicature

Generalized conversational implicature which has regardlessly the context means that if the wording is same in different context that the meaning never change. In this research found fifteen of generalized conversational implicature.

##### a) Data 1

*“I told you we should have rescued the boy first.”* Says Coin (Chapter 1 p.10)

Context: the utterance said by Coin the President of district 13 (supporting character when she was in the meeting with formal authority of rebellions. And Katniss is hearing by gap of door.

The utterance will not change the meaning if the context changes. The word ...."The boy" it means Peeta who is Katniss' partner in hunger game. Because it is literal meaning which has been not figure of speech or ambiguous words. Moreover the utterance is easily to understand by the readers.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into generalized conversational implicature which has same meaning in different context.

b) Data 9

"I guess we've all been put on notice" I say (Chapter 4 p.50)

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) after she and her propo team in hospital which is waiting her prep team. They are carrying Katniss' prep team to hospital after they get punishment after steel a slice of bread.

The utterance ".... we've all been put on notice" means that not all persons put on notice. It can be only Katniss or Gale that should have consider about who is actually taking control. Moreover, the utterance will not change in different context.

So, the utterance is included into generalized conversational implicature which is the word 'all' might be means 'not all' and regardlessly context.

c) Data 12

"Making new friends?" I say

"Well, one of us has to be accessible." Says Gale (Chapter 8 p.102)

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character) after takes propo. They are in conversation then Gale tries to talk with Katniss.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature which has literal meaning that cannot change by context. Besides, it has type of cooperative principle but the utterance is not figure of speech or ambiguous.

So, the utterance can be concluded into generalized conversational implicature which has meaning regardlessly.

## 2) Particularized Conversational Implicature

Particularized conversational implicature is utterance which depends on context, it can include of figure of speech. In this novel found sixty five of particularized conversational implicature.

### a) Data 8

*“Quit! You’ll bleed me to death.”* (Chapter 2 p.26)

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character) after he fight with Boggs. He is trying to stop his bleeding nose then Katniss tries to help him.

The utterance is included in particularized conversational implicature which is it needs specific context. The utterance *“.....You’ll bleed me to death.”* Might be means she tries to kill Gale or she took his blood. But depend on the context might be it means *‘You hurt me’* or *‘It is pain’*. Moreover, the expressing of this utterance is part of figure of speech that is hyperbola.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature which needs context to understand and it has hyperbola meaning.

### b) Data 13

*“I should wake you up more often, little duck.”* (Chapter 3 p.33)

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) when she is talking with her sister. They are talking about Katniss will volunteer as icon of rebellion *mockingjay*. And her sister gives good solution to her.

The utterance is included into particularized conversational implicature which needs context to understand the speaker's intention. The utterance “.....*little duck*” include in simile which is type of figure of speech. Katniss call her sister ‘*little duck*’ because her dress is out like mount of duck.

So, the utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature which needs context to understand it and there is figure of speech as a sign.

c) Data 15

“*Do it.*” he says. “*Now's the time. You could ask for the moon and they'd have to find some way to get it.*” (Chapter 3 p.35)

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character) after he eats with Katniss. They are going to meeting and Katniss tells to him that she will be a *moockingjay* but she thinks need some conditions when she is doing that. And Gale agrees with her.

The utterance is included into particularized conversational implicature which needs specific context to understand it. Moreover, it is included into figure of speech that is hyperbola which is characteristic of maxims quality.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature which needs context to understand the speaker's intention and it has characteristic of maxim quality.

2. **Maxims Organizations Of Implicature Found in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay***

Maxim organization is only the characteristic of conversational implicature. Conversational implicature is one of type in implicature. It has two type, generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature. There are 15 findings of generalized conversational implicature which has 9 patterns of maxims organizations and 65 findings of particularized conversational implicature which has thirteen patterns of maxims organizations.

**a. Maxims organizations of generalized conversational implicature**

The table of each implicature organization below represent how the implicature is organized based on the data type, type implicature and how maxims organization.

**Table 4.2.a Generalized Conversational implicature (9 patterns )**

No.	Type of Conversational Implicature	Maxims Organizations	Freq
1.	Generalized CI	Violated: Manner Observed: Quantity, Quality and Relation	2
2.	Generalized CI	Options-out: Manner, Quantity Observed: Quality, Relation	1
3.	Generalized CI	Flouted: Manner Observed: Quantity, Quality and Relation	2
4.	Generalized CI	Option-out: Quantity Observed: Quality, Manner, Relation	1
5.	Generalized CI	Violated: Quantity, Manner Observed: Quality, Relation	3
6.	Generalized CI	Violated: Quality Observed: Quantity, Manner, Relation	1
7.	Generalized CI	Flouted: Quantity, Quality, Manner Observed: Relation	1
8.	Generalized CI	Flouted: Quantity, Manner	3

		Observed: Quality, Relation	
9.	Generalized CI	Option-out: Quantity, Quality, Manner Observed: Relation	1
<b>TOTAL</b>			15

From the table above, it can be concluded that generalized conversational implicature in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay* appear in 15 occurrences from can be derived the patterns of maxims organizations. According to the implicature type, there are 9 kinds of patterns maxims organization.

### 1) patterns 1

The data analysis below represents patterns 1 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 1 and 5 (data type: dialogue) which has patterns of maxims organization is violated one maxim (maxim of manner) and observed three maxims (maxim of Quantity, Quality and Relation).

#### a) Data 1

*"I told you we should have rescued the boy first."* (Chapter 1 p.10)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance in data 1 is stated by Coin as president of district 13 (Supporting character). She is arguing that it should have rescued the boy first means Peeta who is playing in game. Her statement is listened by Katniss.

The utterance in data 1 is categorized in generalized conversational implicature since they can be easily understood by the readers based on semantic meaning. The speaker's intention is she actually does not agree if the girl should save first mean Katniss because the boy more easily to arrange him, it does not

like Katniss who doing something as she wants. Meanwhile the speaker has intention to say it. It is violated manner because the speaker does not say it clearly she said “the boy first” and she does not say only Peeta.

Moreover, the three other maxims are fulfilled by the speaker even though the maxims manner is violated. It happens because the speaker wants to say it intentionally. *The boy first* this sentence make more confirmation when the speaker say it, meanwhile the speaker really want everybody to realize that it is important to save ‘the boy’ first so they can go to the plan as well.

So, the utterance can conclude into generalized conversational implicature since it needs literal meaning which is violated one maxim (maxim of manner) and observed three maxims (maxim of Quantity, Quality and Relation).

## 2) Patterns 2

The data analysis below represents patterns 2 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 2 (data type: dialogue) which has patterns of maxims organization is Options-out two maxims (Manner, Quantity) and Observed two maxims (Quality, Relation).

### a) Data 2

“*I bet you thought you’d done your last time interview with me, Caesar*” says Peeta

“*I confess, I did*” says Caesar “*The night before the quarter quell...well, who ever thought we’d see you again?*” says Caesar (Chapter 2 p.20)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance in data 2 stated by Caesar as master of ceremony in Talk Show (Supporting character). It happens when he has conversation in talk show with Peeta discussing about what really happened in the arena. He tries to take a lot of information that he need to know and he always save words.

This utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since they can be easy to understand by the reader based on semantic meaning. In this sentence there is not figure of speech or special terminology to understand. This utterance option-out maxims manner and quantity, we can read Caesar's says that '*who ever thought we'd see you again?*' intention that how can we see each other again because who is in Snow side wish Katniss and Peeta die in the arena. But he use save utterance to make invisible who actually his side. He can use utterance '*You would die in the arena*' but he use implicature to looks politely.

Moreover, it is included option-out, because his utterance intention there is someone behind him who is taking control. If he says something wrong maybe something bad will happen on him. His utterance option-out quantity maxim he did not say as required and option-out manner maxim he did not say what actually he should say.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into generalized conversational implicature which is Options-out two maxims (Manner, Quantity) and Observed two maxims (Quality, Relation).

### 3) Patterns 3

The data analysis below represents patterns 3 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 3

and 4 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is flouted one maxim (manner) and observed three maxims (quantity, quality and relation).

a) Data 3

*"It wasn't part of my plan, that's for sure"* says Peeta with a frown (Chapter 2 p.20)

Analysis:

Context: utterance in data 3 said by Peeta (supporting character) in talk show with Caesar. He starts with this utterance because people in capitol know that it is Peeta's plans that try to break the tub and make rebellion form to dissolve capitol. He tells the real happen in the arena.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since it easily to understand by the readers based on semantic meaning. In this utterance there is not figure of speech and special terminology. The quantity of maxim is likely being observed because Peeta is contributed enough and informative as to say that expression. The maxim of quality is likely being observed because Peeta says the true that it is not his plan. And the maxim of relation because Peeta's say has relation with the topic that talking about what happening in the arena. Then it is observed in quantity, quality and relation maxims. And it is flouted manner, it happen because he does not say clearly who made the plan. But in the arena he looks like who made the plan.

So, the utterance is concludes into generalized conversational implicature since it is like the literally meaning which is flouted one maxim (manner) and observed three maxims (quantity, quality and relation).

#### 4) Patterns 4

The data analysis below represents patterns 4 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 6 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Option-out one maxim (Quantity) and Observed three maxims (Quality, Manner, Relation).

##### a) Data 6

*“Cloud he have been part of the conspiracy?”* asks Caesar

*“He Never Mention It.”* Says Peeta (Chapter 2 p.23)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Peeta (supporting character) in the talk show. After the game, Katniss and Peeta separated, Peeta in the capitol then he should give the real information about what really happen in the arena by talk show. Caesar asks him about his mentor Haymitch Abernathy (supporting character).

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since it is not concluded in figure of speech. The utterance is easily to understand, but it looks like that the speaker does not clear and make the reader feels ‘is that sure?’ The utterance observed of Quality because it is clear enough, so do the manner maxim that he says the true. Then the utterance observed of relation because the utterance does not trun out te another information. And it option-out because he says that there is something that he does not really know the exactly what Haymitch do, he says that because people will not balm Haymitch.

Moreover, it is option-out the quantity maxim. It happens because Peeta does not say briefly as required. He should say 'yes' or 'no' but he says *He Never Mention It*. It is not required, because it make other meaning and looks like the information is not true.

Hence, the utterance can conclude generalized conversational implicature since it is as literally meaning which is Option-out one maxim (Quantity) and Observed three maxims (Quality, Manner, Relation).

### 5) Patterns 5

The data analysis below represents patterns 5 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 7, 11 and 12 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Violated two maxim (quantity, manner) and Observed two maxims (quality, relation).

#### a) Data 11

"*You're still angry.*" Says Gale

"*And you're still not sorry,*" I say (Chapter 5 p.63)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) in the elevator. They are going to Special Defense to meet Betee. They are in debate about Katnis saves her prep team and she argues that president Coin send a message by punishing her prep team because slice of bread.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since it easily to understand by the reader. The speaker intention based on generalized

conversational implicature that '*You're still not sorry*,' means that the respondent does not regretfully anymore. It violated because the speaker says it consciously. It observed of quality because it is clear enough to understand and relation because it has relation with the topic. And it violated of quantity because it does not required, the respondent should say 'yes' or 'no'. Then it violated of manner because it does not clear what actually the speaker want to say, it does not look she is still angry or not.

So, the utterance is concluded into generalized conversational implicature since it as like literally meaning which is Violated two maxim (quantity, manner) and Observed two maxims (quality, relation).

#### 6) Patterns 6

The data analysis below represents patterns 6 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 8 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Violated one maxim (Quality) and Observed three (Quantity, Manner, Relation).

##### a) Data 8

*"We might have. If it was that, surrender, or start nuclear war,"* says Gale. *"In a way, it's remarkable they survived at all."* (Chapter 2 p.27)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character). It happens when he is talking with Katniss. They are talking about what they should do. They are arguing each other to make plan as possible they do.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature 'We might have.' The word 'might' shows an intention that it could happen or never be happen. It is observed quantity maxim because the utterance is required and informative. It is observed manner maxim because it is the true if they do not want to surrender they can start nuclear war and they have nuclear they can do it. And observed of relation maxim, it has relation with the topic.

Moreover, the utterance violated of quality maxim because it will not happen. Start nuclear war is not the solution because it can kill all of the population. And the speaker relies to say it.

So, the utterance can conclude into generalized conversational implicature since it needs to understand as literally meaning which is Violated one maxim (Quality) and Observed three (Quantity, Manner, Relation).

#### 7) Patterns 7

The data analysis below represents patterns 7 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 9 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Flouted three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and Observed one maxim (Relation).

##### a) Data 9

*"I guess we've all been put on notice"* I say (Chapter 4 p.50)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character). It happens after survive her prep teams from jail when they are in torture. She is thinking that

there is something wrong with this, only slice of bread her prep teams have tortured.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature because it is easily to understand. There is not figure of speech or specific terminology. It is observed of relation because it has relation with what happens, she says it because she sees her prep teams has tortured. It is Flouted because she just straight to say that. It is flouted of quantity because it is not as the required and flouted of quality because she says unclear why and who notice her and her friends. Also, it is flouted of manner because it is not really true, her opinion cloud be wrong. Moreover, in district 13 all in command and they always punish someone who did something wrong.

Hence, the utterance can conclude into generalized conversational implicature since it need to understand as literally meaning which is Flouted three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and Observed one maxim (Relation).

#### 8) Patterns 8

The data analysis below represents patterns 8 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 10, 13 and 15 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Flouted two maxims (Quantity, Manner) and Observed two maxim (Quality, Relation). The researcher uses one sample to analysis which is data 15.

##### a) Data 15

*“No one knows what to do with you, girlie.”* (Chapter 23 p.314)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Tigris (supporting character). They are eating and talking about what happen in the capitol and the rebellion. Katniss asks Tigris what Coins to do if she know that Katniss still alive.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since it is easily to understand by the readers based on semantic meaning. This utterance is flouted because she does not know what will happen to them today and in the future. She says it, it can be she wants to make Katniss think that she can do as she want because she is not in the control. It is flouted of quantity maxim because Tigris does not briefly what she wants to say, she should say 'Coin is not here, you can do what you want' or 'Coin cannot take your control'. But she says it to make her rethink what she should do. And it is flouted of manner maxims because it is not true if no one control her, maybe her mother or her sister cloud control her by asking her to do something.

Moreover, it is observed of quality maxims because it is clear enough to understand that Tigris' intention to make Katniss relies what she should do and she should remember her purpose to kill Snow no more. And it has relation with the topic then it should be observed of relation maxim.

So, the utterance is concluded into generalized conversational implicature since it has literally meaning which is Flouted two maxims (Quantity, Manner) and Observed two maxim (Quality, Relation).

## 9) Patterns 9

The data analysis below represents patterns 9 of maxims organization in generalized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 14 (data type: dialogue) which has pattern of maxims organization is Option-out three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and Observed one maxim (Relation).

## a) Data 14

*“Everyone says I did. Everyone says that’s why Snow had you tortured. to break me.”* (Chapter 16 p. 221)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) when she is talking with Peeta after the wedding of Finnick and Annie. She is trying to Peeta kindly and softly because Peeta still in hijacked in his memory.

The utterance is included in generalized conversational implicature since it easily to understand by the readers. It is observed of relation maxim because it has relation with the context. It is option-out because there is something she wants to hide to Peeta because she do not want to hurt him more. It is option-out of quantity maxim because she does not say as required that she loves him and it option out of quality maxim because she does not say clearly, why she says everyone and it is looks that she does not sure she loves him. Also, it option-out of manner because she does not brief to say ‘I love you’ she use ‘everyone’ to make statement that she loves him.

As a result, it can be conclude that the utterance represent generalized conversational implicature in which the maxims of relation is likely and only

maxim that is observed while other three maxims (Quantity, Quality and Manner) might be option-out by the speaker.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into generalized conversational implicature since it has literally meaning which Option-out three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and Observed one maxim (Relation).

**b. Maxims organized of particularized conversational implicature**

The table of each implicature organization below represent how the implicature is organized based on the data type, type implicature and how maxims organization.

**Table 4.2.b Particularized Conversational Implicature (13 patterns)**

No.	Type of Conversational Implicature	Maxims Organizations	Freq
1.	Particularized CI	Violated: Quality Observed: Quantity, Manner and Relation	5
2.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quality, Manner Observed: Quantity, Relation	5
3.	Particularized CI	Violated: Quantity, Manner Observed: Quality, Relation	10
4.	Particularized CI	Violated: Quantity, Quality, Manner Observed: Relation	15
5.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quality Observed: Quantity, Manner, Relation	6
6.	Particularized CI	Violated: Quantity Observed: Quality, Manner, Relation	1
7.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quality, Quantity Observed: Manner, Relation	1
8.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quantity Observed: Quality, Manner, Relation	1
9.	Particularized CI	Option-out: Quantity, Manner Observed: Quality, Relation	2
10.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quantity, Manner Observed: Quality, Relation	4
11.	Particularized CI	Flouted: Quantity, Quality, Manner Observed: Relation	10

12.	Particularized CI	Option-out: Quality Observed: Quantity, Quality, Relation	1
13.	Particularized CI	Violated: Quantity, Manner Observed: Quality, Relation	3
<b>TOTAL</b>			65

From the table 4.2.b can be conclude that particularized conversational implicature in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay* appear in 65 occurrences from which it can be derived the patterns of maxims organizations. According to the implicature type, there are 13 kinds of patterns of maxims organizations.

### 1) Patterns 1

The data analysis below represents pattern 1 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 1, 19, 36, 52 and 54 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is violated of one maxim (Quality) and Observed three maxims (Quantity, Manner and Relation). We can observation one of the data, data 36.

#### a) Data 36

*"You will. Just let them see you. That will do more for them than any doctor in the world cloud."* (Chapter 6 p.84)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Boggs (supporting character) when he tries to convince Katniss that she can do it. They are in district 8, they are going to hospital to visit the victims who already get fire bombs from the capitol that look so poorly almost all of them got injury in bad place.

The utterance ... *That will do more for them than any doctor in the world cloud* is included into particularized conversational implicature since its need

contextual interpretation to understand it. The wording of the utterance needs to understand more than literally meaning. The implicature that can be drawn from the utterance is *only your present can make them more live*. That is why violated the quality maxim because the utterance might be figure of speech that is hyperbola.

Moreover, the speaker can say '*you can do it*' this wording more simple and can be understand easily. The utterance is observed of quantity maxim because it is what Katniss want to hear. And it is observed of manner maxim because it is not false, all of district want to know how is Katniss? Is she still alive? The utterance has sense that she is the only medition. Also it is observed relation maxim because it has relation with the context.

Hence, this utterance is included in particularized conversational implicature based on the engagement violated maxims (Quality) and observed maxims (quantity, manner and relation).

## 2) Pattens 2

The data analysis below represents pattern 2 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 2, 3, 4, 5 and 17 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted two maxims (Quality, Manner) and Observed two maxims (Quantity, Relation). The researcher takes one sample to analysis in data 3.

### a) Data 3

*"That the last night...to tell you about that last night...well, first of all, you have to imagine how it felt in the arena. It was like being an insect trapped under a*

*bowl filled with streaming air. And all around you, jungle.. green and alive and ticking. That giant clock ticking away your life. Every hour promising some new horror. You have to imagine that in the past two days, sixteen people have died-some of them defending you. At the rate things are going, the last eight will be dead by morning. Save one. The victor. And your plans is that it won't be you."*

(Chapter 2 p.21)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Peeta who is in talk show. He tells about his experience in the arena that extremely frighten. The utterance is used to make a motive to make audience believe that happening in the arena is not his plan or Katniss' plan.

The utterance ... *an insect trapped under a bowl filled with streaming air* needs a context to understand which is classified in the particularized conversational implicature. The sentence need to more understand based on the context, the utterance is figure of speech which is meiosis that has mean so likely insect trapped with streaming air. As a human who can think more than an insect looks weakly because they in the tub and they only have one purpose kill each other, besides they should face something bad in the arena it can be blood rain or tsunami in each of hour. The implicature can be drawn from that utterance is Peeta was in dangerous arena. According to maxims flouted quality that is the wording is meiosis, the speaker can use 'it was bad situation' it is simple and only maxim quality that can be flouted by figure of speech. It also flouted the maxim of

manner, the utterance drawn looks merely danger, it can be more fun because he play with his lover.

Moreover, the utterance is observed of quantity maxim, it drawn that the utterance is informative as required and it is briefly to inform the audience. Also the utterance is observed of maxim of relation which has relation with the context.

Hence, it can be conclude in particularized conversational implicature and has two flouted maxims (quality, manner) and observed two maxims (quantity, relation).

### 3) Patterns 3

The data analysis below represents pattern 3 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 6, 12, 16, 18, 30, 42, 45, 47, 60 and 62 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is violated two maxims (Quantity, Manner) and observed two maxims (Quality, Relation). We take one sample to observation, data 47.

#### a) Data 47

*“You know who else, Katniss. You know who stepped up first.”* (chapter 11 p.158)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Haymitch (supporting character) when he visits Katniss in the hospital. He informs to Katniss that some volunteers are going to capitol to save Peeta.

The utterance .... *stepped up first* needs context which is classified into particularized conversational implicature since it needs what actually the meaning to make conversational going as well and it will not be misleading. It is

informative but not required, it needs one sentence more. The speaker can add 'it is Gale' to make the sentence as required. And it is flouted of manner, the utterance does not clear because it needs one sentence more or the speaker can say 'the volunteer is Gale'.

The utterance is observed of quality, it is not figure of speech and it is true that the utterance is happening. The speaker does not lie because he knows what really happen in district 13 about the rebellion. And it is also observed relation maxim since which is still in the context.

So, the utterance can conclude into particularized conversational implicature since it needs a context which is flouted two maxims (quantity, manner) and observed two maxims (quality, relation).

#### 4) Patterns 4

The data analysis below represents pattern 4 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 7, 21, 27, 33, 35, 39, 43, 48, 49, 50, 53, 56, 57, 58 and 63 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is violated three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and observed one maxim (relation). We take one sample to observation in data

##### a) Data 33

*"And that, my friends, is how a revolution dies."* (Chapter 5 p.70)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Haymitch (supporting character) when he is watching Katniss has made propo that is video from rebellion to give information

or push down the capitol. It is first propo Katniss should make slogan for rebellion that she is still alive and want to fight with the capitol.

The utterance *.... is how a revolution dies* is included in particularized conversational implicature since it needs specific context. It might mostly violated quality maxim, based on the utterance *a revolution dies* the speaker direct says it which is his intention might be Katniss' perform is really looks badly because her words and expression cannot be motivated other people specially rebellion. Moreover the speaker use hyperbola expression, only this word can make revolution die, it is impossible the real revolution can die because no one cares about revolution. It is violated the quantity since it need more information how a revolution die because of the word or the speaker can say in other words likely 'Your performer is bad'. Also it is violated of manner since it is not clear enough to be information.

The utterance is observed the maxim of relation which is Katniss' performer needs comment to be better not only approbation. Moreover, after Katniss says '*People of Panem, we fight, we dare, we end our hunger justice!*' She is actually not sure with this wording because it is not her style.

Hence, this utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature since it needs context to understand which is violated three maxims (Quantity, Quality and Manner) and observed one maxim (Relation).

##### 5) Patterns 5

The data analysis below represents pattern 5 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data

8, 11, 13, 15, 29 and 32 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted one maxim (Quality) and Observed two maxims (Quantity, Manner, Relation). We take one sample to analysis as required in data 11.

a) Data 11

*"I'm going to be Mockingjay."* (Chapter 2 p.30)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) when she was talking with Gale after watch Peeta's talk show in the capitol. They are trying to make solution for their freedom, and she decides to be *mockingjay*.

The utterance *I'm going to be Mockingjay* is included in particularized conversational implicature which needs context to express it. It is flouted maxim quality which needs to more careful to take the speaker's intention. The word 'mockingjay' is bird that uses as icon of rebellion that has meaning of revolution means that she wants to be rebellion and fight the enemy who takes her and her people freedom. Although, she is not really sure to be *mockingjay* the way can make revolution.

The utterance is observed of quantity maxim which is the utterance as required, not much small talk therefore she looks convince with her decide. And it is observed of manner maxim which is clear enough as information that she want to be icon of rebellion as *mockingjay*. Also it is relation with the context that is observed of relation maxim.

So, it can be concluded into particularized conversational implicature since it needs a context to understand which is flouted of quality maxim and observed quantity, manner and relation maxims.

#### 6) Patterns 6

The data analysis below represents pattern 6 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 9 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is violated one maxim (Quantity) and Observed three maxims (Quality, Manner, Relation).

##### a) Data 9

*“He might have been tortured. Or persuaded. My guess is he made some kind of deal to protect you. He’d put forth the idea of the cease-fire if Snow let him present you as a confused pregnant girl who had no idea what was going on when she was taken prisoner by the rebels. This way, if you play it right.”* I must still look perplexed because Gale delivers the next line very slowly. *“Katniss... he’s still trying to keep you alive.”* (Chapter 2 p. 28)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character) when he has conversation with Katniss after they watch Peeta in the talk show. Katniss is confused why Peeta says it ‘cease fire’ because no one to be cease fire in this situation which the rebellion want to get they freedom.

The utterance *... he’s still trying to keep you alive* is included in particularized conversational implicature which is need context to understand. It is flouted of quantity maxim which is Gale’s utterance not as required, there are

some sentences that can say as concluding 'he's still trying to keep you alive' although he was trying to explain the real happen but he actually only want to say the last his utterance.

The utterance is observed of quality maxim because it is the truly happen, he does not add more information that can make unbalance to Gale's utterance. And it is observed of manner maxim which is the utterance clear enough to understand, there is not more question after this utterance. Also it has relation in the context which is concluded in observed of relation maxim.

Hence, the utterance is concluded in particularized conversational implicature which is flouted quantity maxim and observed quality, manner and relation maxims.

#### 7) Patterns 7

The data analysis below represents pattern 7 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 10 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted two maxim (Quality, Quantity) and observed two maxims (Manner, Relation)

##### a) Data 10

*"Katniss, I'm not arguing. If I could hit a bottom and kill every living soul working for the Capitol, I would do it. Without hesitation."* He slides the last pencil into the box and flips the lid closed. *"The question is, what are you going to do?"* (Chapter 2 p.30)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Gale (supporting character) when he is talking with Katniss arguing each other opinion when they cleared up pencils to the box. Katniss is arguing that cease-fire cannot be happen because they cannot go back. And Gale gives advice to Katniss.

There are two utterances that should be understood by the reader. First, the utterance ... *If I could hit a bottom...* and ... *what are you going to do?* which are said by Gale. Those two utterances are included in particularized conversational implicature since it needs context.

It might be particularized well-founded that the maxim of manner in the utterance '*If I could hit a bottom*' is clear to say that he want to kill everyone in the capitol. Also it is observed maxim of relation because it is sufficiently utterance in the context. However, the speaker flouted the maxim of quality '*If I could hit a bottom*' this utterance is not true since there is not a bottom to kill everyone in the capitol. Also it is flouted the maxim of quantity, the speaker point of his utterance is '*what are you going to do?*' this utterance is actually what he wants to say, to make Katniss relies what she should do that is fight.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature since need the context which is flouted two maxims (Quantity, Quality) and observed two maxims (manner, relation).

#### 8) Patterns 8

The data analysis below represents pattern 8 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data

14 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted one maxim (Quantity) and observed three maxims (Quality, Manner, Relation).

a) Data 14

*"You've got to stop that."* I say. But since I'm already scooping up the stuff, it's not too convincing, *"Really, it's probably illegal or something."* (Chapter 3 p.34)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) when she is eating with Gale. She is trying to not stare at Gale's turnips because she really likes turnips. In district 13 has rule that eat as their self portion. And Gale give his turnips to Katniss.

The utterance ... *Really, it's probably illegal or something* is included in particularized conversational implicature since it need context. It is flouted quantity maxim that she is not briefly says that, it looks she rather want and rather refuse but she eat it and convince him to not to do that. The speaker should say '*Don't do it, it's illegal*'. And it is observed of quality maxim since it is true that give other our food is illegal in district 13. Also it is observed manner maxim that the speaker clearly says it since it is clear enough as information. The utterance is sufficiently in the context.

So, we can conclude the utterance is part of particularized conversational implicature since it needs context which is flouted one maxim (quantity) and observed three maxims (quality, manner and relation).

### 9) Patterns 9

The data analysis below represents pattern 9 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 20 and 23 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is Option-out two maxims (Quantity, Manner) and observed two maxims (Quality, Relation). The researcher takes one sample to make analysis in data 20.

#### a) Data 20

*“Or at least there’d be the option of a little side action,”* says Plutarch. *“I mean, even twelve had a black market, right?”* (Chapter 3 p.41)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Plutarch (supporting character) after the meeting he is talking with Katniss, Gale and Fulvia. They agree with Katniss' conditions because she will do it and her condition such as payback for her sacrifice.

The utterance is included into particularized conversational implicature since it needs context. It is option-out quantity maxims since the speaker does not say briefly what his intention he looks like use save word to not make misleading. It is also option-out manner maxims since the speaker does not clear enough what he really wants to say. However, it is observed quality since depend on the context that the speaker's says true, it is alright to do the conditions. Also it is observed relation maxims since it is sufficiently uttered due the context.

## 10) Patterns 10

The data analysis below represents pattern 10 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 22, 24, 28 and 34 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted two maxims (quantity, Manner) and observed two maxims (Quality, Relation). The researcher takes one sample to analysis in data 34.

## a) Data 34

*"Make sure you get some footage. You can use that, anyway,"* (Chapter 6 p.74)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) when she is in the meeting, discussing about the propo should do outside of district 13 to make looks live. But president of district 13 does not agree because to risk go outside.

The utterance is included into particularized conversational implicature since it needs context. It is flouted quantity maxim since the speaker say is not briefly that Katniss cannot promise that she will survive. She should say 'yes, I'll be alright' as the required. It is also flouted manner maxim which is the speaker does not clear enough as informative. However, the utterance is observed quality maxim which is the speaker clear enough as information. Also it is sufficiently uttered due to the context.

So, the utterance can conclude into particularized conversational implicature which is depend on the context which is flouted two maxims (quantity and manner) and observed two maxims (quality and relation).

## 11) Patterns 11

The data analysis below represents pattern 11 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 25, 26, 37, 38, 41, 44, 46, 51, 55 and 61 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is flouted three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Manner) and observed one maxim (Relation). The researcher uses one sample to analysis which is data 38.

## a) Data 38

... “*Fire is catching!*” I am shouting now, determined that he will not miss a word. “*And if we burn, you burn with us!*” (Chapter 7 p.97)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Katniss (main character) after the capitol fire-bombs in district 8. She was angry because the capitol fights the victims who cannot do something. The she shows her angry into camera.

The utterance is included into particularized conversational implicature which is the utterance needs context. The utterance is flouted quality maxims since the utterance not clear enough as information because it uses hyperbola expression, the speaker can use ‘*I will kill you*’ although it does not have a sense of threaten. And flouted quantity maxim which is the utterance does not say as required, there is additional to make good utterance. Also it is flouted manner which is the speaker’s intention not threaten to die together, the speaker intention that President Snow will die. The utterance is sufficiently uttered due to the context.

Hence, the utterance can conclude into particularized since it needs context which flouted three maxims (quantity, quality, manner) and observed one maxim (relation).

#### 12) Patterns 12

The data analysis below represents pattern 12 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 40 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is option-out one maxim (Quality) and observed three maxims (Quantity, Quality, Relation)

##### a) Data 40

... *"Yeah, we wouldn't want to lose our little Mockingjay when she's finally begun to sing."* (Chapter 8 p.106)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by Haymitch (supporting character) when the rebel team is in discussion. They are talking about the propo that is more than expected. And then they plan for the next propo.

The utterance is included in particularized conversational implicature which is need context to understand. It is option-out quality which is the speaker tease the mockingjay means Katniss who is out of the control then use this utterance to make invisible intention. The speaker's utterance has intention that is reminding Katniss as Mockingjay to not do as she wants without confirmation. However, it is observed quantity maxim which is the speaker looks like give approbation and as like give reciprocal of president Coin's utterance as required. And it is

observed manner maxim which is clearly to understand for respondent what the speaker's intention. The utterance is sufficiently uttered due the context.

Hence, the utterance can conclude into particularized conversational implicature since needs the context which is flouted one maxim (quality) and observed three maxims (quantity, manner and relation).

### 13) Patterns 13

The data analysis below represents pattern 13 of maxims organization in particularized conversational implicature. This descriptive analysis is about data 59, 64 and 65 (data type: dialogue) which have patterns of maxim organization is violated two maxims (Quantity, Manner) and observed (Quality, Relation). The researcher uses one sample to analysis which is data 64.

#### a) Data 64

*“My failure,” says Snow. “Was being slow to grasp Coin’s plan. To let the capitol and districts destroy one another, and then step in to take power with thirteen barely scratched. Make no mistake, she was intending to take my place right from beginning. I should’ve be surprised. After all, it was thirteen that started the rebellion that led to the dark days, and then abandoned the rest of the districts when the tide turned against it. But I wasn’t watching Coin. I was watching you, Mockingjay. And you were watching me. I’m afraid we have both played for fools.”* (Chapter 25 p.343)

Analysis:

Context: the utterance said by President Snow (supporting character) when he is in special jail that much roses plant. He is talking with Katniss, he is trying to make deal with Katniss that they are playing by president of district 13.

The utterance is included in particularized conversational implicature which is the utterance need context as well. The utterance is violated quantity maxim which is the speaker relies to say that, besides the utterance has some information that not necessary in the utterance. And it is violated manner maxim which the speaker do not say clear what his intention, he should say '*Coin uses you to take control all of the district*' but he says something more complicated furthermore telling what might be happen between them. However, the utterance is observed quality which is the condition might be what Coin's plan. Also it is sufficiently uttered due to the context.

Hence, the utterance is concluded into particularized conversational implicature since it needs context which is violate two maxims (quantity, manner) and observed two maxims (quality, relation).

## B. Discussion

The presentation of implicature in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay* above, it found two kinds of implicature that are conventional implicature and conversational implicature. The data which is the utterance in the novel has offered as the Grice theory through implicature which shows the rarely found in conventional implicature and frequently in conversational implicature.

In conventional implicature, it has found that are three utterances which are classified into two patterns. The data which represents in dialogue mostly included controversial which has semantic meaning. The entailments 'but' and 'or' can be one of characteristic which controversy. However, in the data conventional implicature has no relationship to any principle or maxims like its counterpart.

In addition, conversational implicature found two kinds of maxim organization. They are generalized conversational implicature and particularized conversational implicature. The presentation in table 4.2.a above shows that generalized conversational implicature in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay* are found that there are fifteen dialogues which is included into nine patterns of maxims organization. In addition, the presentation of table 4.2.b shows that in particularized conversational implicature there are sixty five dialogues which included into fourteen patterns of maxim organization.

As the result, the findings represent that at least one maxim is flouted or violated or option-out and the most three maxims in generalized conversational implicature. Also, in particularized conversational implicature at least one maxim is flouted or violated or option-out and the most three maxims. In this research, the researcher does not find infringe maxim because all of character in this research has linguistic capacity to answer the question in this novel.

In conversation, flouted maxim might be happened depends on condition and the context. Flouted maxim has been happen when the speaker does not conscious to say it. Violated maxim has been happen when the speaker conscious

to say it, it means that the speaker want to inform or insist the speaker's intention. Then option-out maxim has been happen when the speaker does not sure that should say the information, it might be happened because the speaker is threatened by someone beside the speaker should inform to another people.

These findings result mostly all of utterance in Suzanne Collins' *Mockingjay* pattern of maxims organization in violated quality and manner. In addition, most of implicature utterances also pattern maxims organization in observed maxim relation. It shows that the wording organization in this novel the author employs like a way to the reader to not only reading a literally work of this but also deeply read the world created by the author. The author wants to bring the reader to enjoy the experiences in the novel which is the reader can talk of the characters. Also, the author make more attractive to drag the reader attention and involvement understand her story. The way author express her words, making her utterance ambiguous, prolix and figurative utterances, makes the reader cognition to more understand the novel. However, in this novel is frequently observed maxim of relation which is the utterance relevance with the topic. Hence, it means even though the author often the utterance is included maxim flouted, the relevance between the utterance that are ambiguity, hyperbolic and less informative is still provided the utterance could be understood clearly.

Frequently, implicature in which maxim of conversation are not observed and/or observed do not mean that the author is failed to avail the readers to understand story in the novel. The author created some wordings looks like misleading to make interesting story with dialogue which is indirectness to show

politeness, to show respect, to soften utterance, to show carefulness, to show uncertainty, to keep face. Goffman in Rankema defines face as the image that a person protects in his social contact with other.<sup>33</sup>

The utterance in the novel takes from daily conversation which based on their culture moreover the author experience. It is indicated that her utterance takes not far from her live as like language is close with our live which as tool to connect our mind to the other. In conclusion, authentic evidence in written language forms and maxims organizations in the novel is how language in use is peculiarly practiced.

---

<sup>33</sup> Chusni Hadiati, *Redefining Cablaka 'Banyumasan Way of Speaking': Is It Totally Explicature?*, (Finland:Academy Publisher, 2014), Vol:4 No:10, 7.