

## **BAB V**

### **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

This chapter is the last chapter of the research. In this chapter, the writer provides conclusion of the research and also suggestion for the reader and the next researcher.

#### **A. Conclusion**

This research emphasizes on directive speech act that found in the “Justice” movie by Richard Gabai. Directive speech act used by a person or people to get the hearer or listener to do or do not something. According to Searle’s theory, there are five types of directive speech act such as command, request, permission, prohibition and question.

Based on research questions have explained in the previous chapter. The researcher found all types of directive speech act in the “Justice” movie by Richard Gabai applied by several characters. Such as command, request, permission, prohibition and question. There are 61 utterances of command, 51 utterances are request, 16 utterances are permission, 12 utterances are prohibition, and the last 135 utterances are question.

Related with the explanation above, the type that are most frequently used in the “Justice” movie by Richard Gabai is question. It is 135 utterances of question that applied by some characters. They are include as question

because use one of 5W+H question and also the utterances are indicated to get more information someone or something else.

From this research, we know that study of pragmatic is crucial thing for human. Particularly, in communication we must get message from the speaker for avoid the kinds of misunderstanding. Before we got the speaker message, we have to understand well what the speaker mean. There for, between the speaker and the listener or the hearer must understand well about study of pragmatic, exactly directive speech act. After we mastered the study of pragmatic, we can create the meaningful communication in daily activities.

## **B. Suggestion**

This is the last part of this study, the researcher hope that this study can gives advantages for the reader and the next researcher, meanwhile the writer consider there are many of the weaknesses and the mistakes in every where. For the reader, the hope of the researcher is this study will be beneficial for the reader to increase the reader's knowledge in studying directive speech act. In other line, it can useful to learn and understand more about directive speech act.

For the next researcher, the researcher is expected to give beneficial contribution relevant reference for the next researcher who will analyze the same case. Beside that, the researcher hope that they can create the explanation about directive speech act and have a new idea in analysis about discourse marker.