# THE EFFECT OF MIND MAPPING TECHNIQUE ON WRITING SKILL OF STUDENTS HAVING DIFFERENT LEARNING STYLE

## Thesis

Presented to

State Islamic Institute of Kediri
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of *Magister* in English Language Education



By: Iwan Setiawan NIM. 22506027

SCHOOL OF GRADUATE PROGRAM
STATE ISLAMIC INSTITUTE OF KEDIRI
2024

## **APPROVAL**

This is to certify that approved by thesis advisors for further approval by the board of examiners.

### **Advisors**

1. <u>Dr. Sri Wahyuni, M.Pd.</u> NIP. 198409092011012018 1

2. <u>Dr. Ary Setya Budhi Ningrum, M.Pd.</u> NIP. 198204302008012011 2.

Kediri, 21 November 2024

# **DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY**

Herewith I,

Name : Iwan Setiawan

NIM : 22506027

Department : English Language Education

Title : The Effect of Mind Mapping Technique on Writing Skill of

Students Having Different Learning Style

#### Declare that:

 This thesis is the sole work of the author. The results of the thesis are no elements of plagiarism of researh work or scientific works that have been carried out or made by anyone else than those that are quoted in this text and mentioned in citation source and reference list.

2. If in the future, it turns out that the result of this thesis prove to contain elements of plagiarism and claims from other parties, I am willing to be processed according to the applicable laws and regulations

Kediri, 21 November 2024

Vormanny market

Iwan Setiawan

22506027

5AMX073943870

#### RATIFICATION

This is to certify that this thesis entitled *THE EFFECT OF MIND MAPPING TECHNIQUE ON WRITING SKILL OF STUDENTS HAVING DIFFERENT LEARNING STYLE* has been examined and approved by the Board of Examiners as the requirement for the degree of *Magister* in English Language Education.

#### Board of Examiners

<u>Dr. Andriani, MM.</u>
 NIP: 197301132003122001

Chairman

2. Dr. Toyyibah, M.Pd.

NIP: 197212202006042003

Main Examiner (

3. Dr. Sri Wahyuni, M.Pd.

NIP: 198409092011012018

Examiner

4. Dr. Ary Setya Budhi Ningrum, M.Pd.

NIP: 198204302008012011

Examiner

Kediri, December 30th 2024

Acknowledged by

Director of Graduate Program

Prof. Dr. H. Moh. Asror Yusuf, M.Ag

NIP. 197506132003121004

#### **ABSTRACT**

Iwan Setiawan (22506027), 2024. *The Effect of Mind Mapping Technique on Writing Skill of Students Having Different Learning Style.* Thesis of Graduate Degree of English Language Education Department, State Islamic Intitute (IAIN) Kediri. Advisors: Dr. Sri Wahyuni, M.Pd. and Dr. Ary Setya Budhi Ningrum, M.Pd.

In teaching and learning process, English teachers not only understand how to present and explain material to, mainly writing, but also they should be able to create classroom situations that address the affective side of the classroom, such as knowing students' learning styles (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic style) and using technique which suits their learnig syle. Mind mapping is an alternative of teaching technique to teach writing skill. Therefore, this study was aimed to find empirical evidence of the effect of mind mapping technique on writing skill of students having different learning style. The researcher applied quantitative method with quasiexperimental design. The study was carried out in SMP N 16 Tulang Bawat. The population of the study was the eighth grade students which consisted of 108 students while the sample of the research was 2 classes (VIII A and VIII D) which consisted of 28 students of each classes. The reseach used test and questionnaire as the instrument to collect data. Then, the researcher used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to examine the data. The finding of the study revealed that 1) there was any significant effect of mind mapping on students writing skill. Mind mapping was more effective (mean = 81.82) to teach writing skill in experiment class based on students increased achivement after getting treatment than the students who taught with graphic organizers (67.46) in control class; 2) There was any significant effect of mind mapping technique on writing skill of students having visual learning style. Visual learners got higher score (mean = 85,86) than auditoryand kinesthetic learners. Then, kinesthetic students had better score (mean = 78,56) than auditory students (mean = 76,40); 3) There is any significant interaction between mind mapping technique and learning style on students' writing skill.

**Keywords:** Mind Mapping, Writing Skill, Learning Style

# **MOTTO**

A chance will not come for someone who only waits for it.

A chance will come for someone who acts.

## **DEDICATION**

I would like to dedicate this thesis to:

- 1. My beloved parents who always give me the best pray, motivation, and unlimitted support.
- 2. My whole family and friends who always share happiness and kindness.
- 3. All people who have the best effort to share knowledge for one another.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In the name of Allah the most gracious and the most merciful, all praises belong to Allah the Almighty, the lord of Universe. Through His guidance and blessing, the researcher has completed the thesis as an academic requirement to finish Master Degree at English Language Education Department, State Islamic Institute (IAIN) Kediri.

Then, Sholawat and Salam always are presented to the last messenger of Allah, Prophet Muhammad who has inspired and lightened many people up all around the world.

Also thanks to Dr. Sri Wahyuni, M.Pd. and Dr. Ary Setya Budhi Ningrum, M.Pd. as my advisors for giving me guidance, suggestion, motivation, and support to complete this thesis. Finally, the researcher realizes that this thesis is not perfect. Therefore comments, criticisms, and suggestions will be very much appreciated.

Kediri, 21 November 2024

Iwan Setiawan

22506027

# TABLE OF CONTENT

AP	PROVAL SHEET	i
DE	CCLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY	ii
RA	ATIFICATION SHEET	iii
AB	STRACT	iv
M(	OTTO	v
DE	EDICATION	vi
AC	CKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TA	BLE OF CONTENT	viii
LIS	ST OF TABLE	X
LIS	ST OF APPENDIX	xii
CH	IAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Background of the Research	1
B.	Research Problem	6
C.	Objective of the Research	6
D.	Hypothesis of the Research	7
E.	Scope and Limitations of the Research	7
F.	Significance of the Research	8
G.	Definition of the Key Terms	9
H.	Framework of Thinking	10
CH	IAPTER II THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK	12
A.	Concept of Writing	12
B.	Concept of Descriptive Text	17
C.	Concept of Mind Mapping Technique	19
D.	Concept of Graphic Organizer	23
E.	Concept of Learning Style	25

F.	Previous Related Study	. 29
СН	IAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	. 32
A.	Research Design	. 32
В.	Research Population and Sample	. 33
C.	Reseach Procedures	. 33
D.	Reseach Instrument	. 35
E.	The Validity and Reliability of the Research Instruments	. 38
F.	Data Collection Technique	. 42
G.	Data Analysis Technique	. 42
СН	IAPTER IV FINDING	
A.	Research Finding	. 45
CH	IAPTER V DISCUSSION	. 63
B.	Reseach Discussion	. 63
CH	IAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	. 69
A.	Conclusion	69
B.	Suggestion	. 70
RE	FERENCES	. 72
ΑP	PENDIXES	. 78

## LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1	Research design	33
Table 3.2	Population of the research	33
Table 3.3	Scoring rubic of writing skill	35
Table 3.4	Characteristic of learning style	38
Table 3.5	The validity test of learning style instrument	39
Table 3.6	The validity test of rubic assessment of writing test	41
Table 3.7	The reliability of learning style instrument	41
Table 3.8	The reliability of rubic assessment of writing test	41
Table 4.1	The Result of the Pre-test	45
Table 4.2	The Result of the Post-test	46
Table 4.3	The data analysis design of the research variables	48
Table 4.4	The Data Description of Post-Test between Experiment and	
	Control Class	48
Table 4.5	Reliability of Raters of Experimental Group	49
Table 4.6	Summary of the Result of the Scoring Reliability Analysis of	Each
	Components	50
Table 4.7	Reliability of Raters of Control Group	50
Table 4.8	Summary of the Result of the Scoring Reliability Analysis of	Each
	Components	51
Table 4.9	The Result of Normality Test	51
Table 4.10	Test of Homogeneity of Variances	52
Table 4.11	Test of Homogeneity Regression	53
Table 4.12	Tests of Covariate Linearity	54
Table 4.13	Hypothesis Testing	55
Table 4.14	The Comparison between Experimental and Control Group	56
Table 4.15	Hypothesis Testing - Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	58
Table 4.16	The Comparison between Experimental and Control Group	59

Table 4.17	Hypothesis Testing - Tests of Between-Subjects Effects60
Table 4.18	The Comparison between Experimental and Control Group of
	Auditory Students
Table 4.19	Hypothesis Testing - Tests of Between-Subjects Effects61
Table 4.20	The Comparison between Experimental and Control Group of
	Kinesthetic Students
Table 4.21	The Comparison of NGain of Students' Learning Styles on the
	Writing Skills Taught by Mind Mapping63
Table 4.22	The correlation between mind mapping and learning style in
	teaching writing64

# LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix 1	Writing test sheet
Appendix 2	Questionnaire
Appendix 3	Lesson plan85
Appendix 4	Mind mapping101
Appendix 5	Pre-test score of experiment class
Appendix 6	Pre-test score of control class
Appendix 7	Post-test score of experiment class
Appendix 8	Post-test score of control class
Appendix 9	Students' learning style of experiment class
Appendix 10	Students' learning style of control class
Appendix 11	Sample of the students writing test110
Appendix 12	Documentation
Appendix 13	Research letter
Appendix 14	Consultation sheet116