CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion

The grammatical precision and general quality of the translations of article abstracts produced by DeepL and Google Translate differ significantly. The results demonstrate that DeepL performs better than Google Translate in terms of preserving grammatical precision and preserving the original text's intended meaning, even though both translation technologies are extensively used and generally dependable. Translations produced by DeepL are more accurate sounder more natural because of their exceptional proficiency with intricate sentence structures, colloquial idioms, and context-appropriate subtleties. However, there was a greater likelihood of grammatical mistakes, strange wording, and inaccurate translations produced by Google Translate, which might have changed the original meaning.

This study, in particular, identifies persistent problems with the way Google Translate handles preposition usage, subject-descriptor agreement, and verb tenses, which can cause misunderstandings or confusion when translating academic or professional writing. While each tool has pros and cons of its own, the results indicate that DeepL would be a more dependable choice for translating academic or technical writings such as article abstracts because it provides a higher degree of grammatical accuracy and semantic preservation. It is crucial to remember that the effectiveness of various translation technologies can differ based on the language pair, industry, and level of intricacy of the original text. Furthermore, human review and editing can still be required to guarantee accuracy and clarity, particularly for crucial or dangerous translations. All things considered, this study advances knowledge about the strengths and weaknesses of widely used machine translation software and emphasizes the significance of assessing and choosing an appropriate tool under particular translation needs and

quality standards.

B. Suggestion

These recommendations are based on a comparative grammatical analysis of Google Translate and DeepL's translations of article abstracts. Take into consideration utilizing DeepL for translating technical or academic article abstracts. The study discovered that DeepL outperformed other options when it came to maintaining the original text's intended meaning and grammatical accuracy, which makes it a better option for translating abstracts that need to be precise and clear.

When translating delicate or important content, use Google Translate with caution: According to the findings and discussion, there was a greater likelihood of grammatical errors, poor phrasing, and mistranslations that might have changed the original meaning when using Google Translate. This could provide issues when translating delicate or important data. Put quality control mechanisms in place: Although machine translation programs like DeepL and Google Translate have their uses, human review and editing should always be done, especially for translations that need to be extremely accurate and clear.

By putting these recommendations into practice, people can make better use of machine translation systems while lowering risks and guaranteeing accurate translations, especially for important or delicate topics.