CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In this chapter, the researcher presents the conclusion of discussion. In this part, researcher also give some suggestion for the English teacher, students and also further researcher.

A. Conclusion

The conclusion of the research is Oral Corrective Feedback is effective to improve students' speaking skill ability for tenth grade of SMKN 1 Ngasem. Researcher has calculated the mean of both experimental and control group before they get treatment. The score has calculated using SPSS, for experimental group was 50.937 and for control group was 51.406. then, after getting the treatment, the students' score for experimental group was 77.659 and for control group was 67.891.

Based on the mean score above, students that were teaching using Oral Corrective Feedback and students were not used Oral Corrective Feedback as teaching strategy have different score. It can be concluded that students who got Oral Corrective Feedback as the learning strategy has better score.

The result of ANCOVA showed that the distribution of data from experimental and control group were normal. The significant value of pre-test and post-test of experimental group was p $(0.865) > \alpha$ (0.05) and the significant value of pretest and post-test for control group is p $(0.132) > \alpha$ (0.05). Then, the Levene's test result showed the significant value p $(0.147) > \alpha$ (0.05). It means the

ability between experimental and control group is almost the same and data was homogeneous.

The result of calculation shows that p $(0.147) > \alpha$ (0.05). There is also no interaction between covariate and independent variable, as evidence by p $(0.927) > \alpha$ (0.05). Then, the result of statistical computation using ANCOVA, shows that the result is significant p $(0.000) < \alpha$ (0.05). It means there is enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis, then the alternative hypothesis is received. It means that oral corrective feedback is effective to improve students' speaking skill.

B. Suggestion

Based on the research problem ad data analysis the researcher would give some suggestion. Hopefully the suggestion will useful for the teacher, students and also the further researcher.

1. Teacher

The researcher hope that English teacher get new reference to teach speaking skill. Also, may English teacher gives better method especially when the teacher teaches speaking skill using Oral Corrective Feedback. Beside of it, the teacher should keep control the student activities to make our lesson interesting, and should present the language in an enjoyable, understandable and relax.

2. Student

Students have to know that English is important, so they have to improve their skills, especially in speaking, because English is the international language, so if we have better English it will make us easy to go anywhere.

3. Further Researcher

For the next researcher, this study can be reference for conducting the new research about Oral Corrective Feedback. Also, through this study the further researcher can get more knowledge about what are inhibitor factors that has been trough by the researcher. The factors are:

- 1. The researcher needed many times for conducting this research, because, this research has some treatments for students.
- 2. To get the data, especially pre-test and post-test, the researcher has to listen the data repeatedly that have gotten from record the students' speaking in the class.

So, for further researcher, those factors can be good knowledge in conducting the research. Hopefully the next researcher will get the better outcome.

REFERENCES

- Abuelnour E. H, B., Ibrahim H. A, H. (2014). Rationalizing Oral Corrective Feedback in Sudanese EFL Classrooms; *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, Vol.3, No.3, Pp. 217-231.
- Ali S. A, A. (2015). The Effect of Written Corrective Feedback on Omani Students' Accuracy in the Use of English Prepositions; *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, Vol.6, No.1, Pp. 61-71.
- Amrullah, A. Z. (2015). Developing Language Game to Teach Speaking Skill for Indonesian Senior High School Learners; *Jeels*, Vol. 2, No. 2, Pp. 13-33.
- Brown, H. D. (2004). Language assessment: Principle and classroom practices. New York: Pearson Education.
- Demir, Y and Sinan Ö, K. (2017). Exploring Native and Non-Native EFL Teachers' Oral Corrective Feedback Practices: An Observational Study; *Brock Education Journal*, Vol. 26 No.2, Pp. 111-129.
- Fidan, D. (2015). Learners' Preferences of Oral Corrective Feedback: an Example of Turkish as A Foreign Language Learners; *Academic Journal*; Turkey.
- Gani, A. S., Fajrina, D., and Hanifa, R. (2015). Students' Learning Strategies for Developing Speaking Ability; *Studies in English Language and Education*, Vol. 2 No. 1, Pp. 16-28.
- Fitriana, R., Suhatmady, B., Setiawan, I. (2016). Students' Preferences toward Corrective Feedbacks on Students' Oral Production; *Script Journal*, Vo.1, Issue.1, Pp.46-60.
- Fitriana, R. (2017). Students' Attitudes toward Teachers' Corrective Feedback; Script Journal: Journal of Linguistic and English Teaching, Vol.2, No.2, Pp. 177-190.
- Fussalam, Y. E and Lestari, R. (2018). Improving the Speaking Skill of the Students of STIKIP-MB through the Use of Three-Step Interview Technique; *Geej*, Vol. 5, No. 2, Pp. 182-191.
- Gower. (2005). Teaching Practice; Handbook for teachers in Training.
- Homsini M, E. (2015). A Descriptive Study of Teacher's Oral Feedback in an ESL Young Learner Classroom in Indonesia; *Open Access Kata; http://kata.petra.ac.id,* Pp. 117- 124.

- Homsini M, E. (2017). Relating Teacher's Oral Corrective Feedback to Young Learners' Uptake: A Case Study in A Young Learner EFL Classroom; *Indonesian EFL Journal*, Vol. 3, No.2, Pp. 181-192.
- Irfani, B., Meisuri, and Rohmatillah. (2018). Speaking Performance of Islamic Boarding School and Public School-Based Graduates: A comparative Study; *Jeels*, Vol.5, No.1, Pp. 97 113.
- Jhon, W. B. (1981). Research in Education; *Prentice Hall*, Pp. 59-60.
- Kaminskienė, L, and Kavaliauskienė, G. (2014). Attitudes to Improving Speaking Skills by Guided Individual Activities. *VGTU Press*.
- Lyster, R. and Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective Feedback and Student Uptake: Negotiation of Form in Communicative Classroom; *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, Vol.19, Pp. 37-66.
- Mackey, A., Park, H. I., and Tagarelli, K. M. (2016). Errors, Corrective Feedback and Repair: Variations and Learning Outcomes; *The Routledge Handbook of English Language Teaching*, Pp. 499-512.
- Masruddin. (2018). The Efficacy of Using Short Video through Group work in Teaching Speaking to Indonesian English as Foreign Language (EFL) Students; *Arab World English Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 3, Pp. 282-293.
- Muslimah, A. (2018). Teaching Spoken Narrative by Using Silent Viewing Video Technique to Senior High School students; *Lingua Cultura*, Vol. 12 No. 2, Pp. 163-167.
- Nunan, D. (1992). Research Methods in Language Learning; *Cambridge University Press*, Pp.25.
- Nurhayati, D. A W., Djatmika., Santosa, R., Wiratno, T., Yuwartatik. (2016). Indonesian influence in developing speaking skill in learning English: EFL learners' impediments. *Prosiding Internasional Seminar Prasasti III. e-prosiding: jurnal.uns.ac.id/prosiding prasasti*.
- Pedrazzini, L. (2017). Dealing with Students' Errors: Oral Corrective Feedback in the Italian EFL Classroom; *Other Modernities*, Pp. 98 117.
- Siauw, M. F. (2018). Oral Corrective Feedback in an Intermediate EFL Conversation Class; *Open Access Kata; http://kata.petra.ac.id*, Pp. 63-70.
- Sartika., Amin, M. (2014). What Contributes to Students' Success in Learning to Speak English; *Jeels*, Vol.1, No.1, Pp. 31 45.

- Sheen, Y., and Ellis, R. (2011). Corrective Feedback in Language Teaching; Handbook of Research in Second Language Teaching and Learning, Vol. 2, Pp. 593-610.
- Soenardi, D. M. Tes dalam Pengajaran, (Bandung; ITB, 1996).
- Suharsimi, A. (2002). Procedure Penelitian; Rineka Cipta, Pp.117.
- Tuan, N.H., and Mai, T.N. (2015). Factors Affecting Students' Speaking Performance at LE Thanh High School; *Asian Journal of Educational Research*, Vol. 3 No.2.

APPENDIX OF RESULT SCORE OF PRE TEST AND POST TEST