

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter describes the conclusion and suggestion to answer the statement of problem and suggestion for the English teacher, students and the next researcher to use collaborative writing in teaching writing skill.

A. CONCLUSION

The conclusion of the research is *collaborative writing* gave positive outcome on the students writing skill of tenth graders of SMAN 8 Kota Kediri. The researcher found that there was difference mean between experimental and control group after conducting the treatment. Meanwhile the students who were taught using *collaborative writing* got higher mean than students who were taught without *collaborative writing*.

The Mean score of experimental group was 59,53 and the mean score of the control group was 63,15. However, the two groups also got different mean after getting treatment and the experimental group got higher value. The mean of experimental group was 80,35 and the control group was 73,32.

The result of ANCOVA showed that the distribution of data in pre-test and post-test were normal. The significant value of pretest was $(0,205) \geq (0,05)$, meanwhile the significant value of post-test was

(0,180) \geq (0,05). Then the Levene's test result showed the significant value $0,178 \geq 0,05$. It means that the variance of experiment and control group is equal across groups. In addition, there is no interaction between pre-test and group. It is proven by the significant value ($0,340 > 0,05$). Table 4.11 gave evidence that there is relationship between covariate and dependent variable. Then there is relationship between pre-test and post-test, as evidence the result of significant value was $0,001 < 0,05$.

The result of the test between subject-effect is significant at (p) $0,000 < 0,05$. Because the significant value is smaller than 0,05, it means that there is enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis was accepted. Furthermore, it means that teaching writing using *collaborative writing* is effective.

B. SUGGESTION

Based on the result of the research, the researcher would like to give some suggestions to the English teachers and the future researchers. Hopefully, the researcher is useful for them.

1. For English Teachers

Collaborative Writing strategy helped students to develop their idea in writing English. In addition, it can make the student can improve their imagination in writing. The result of the research showed that *collaborative writing* gave positive

outcome on the students' writing skill of tenth grader of SMAN 8 Kota Kediri. Related to the result, it was suggested to the teacher to apply this strategy in teaching writing because it can encourage students interesting in writing. The strategy also avoids the students get bored in the classroom.

2. For the students

The researcher hopes that the students can lose their boring in the class, and interest in writing subject. By giving this strategy, hopefully it will help them to produce good writing because they used to built their imagination to write something.

3. For the next researcher

Based on the result of the research, the suggestion for the future research is conducting *collaborative writing* in classroom action research because *collaborative writing* strategy can overcome the difficulty of students in writing.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aziz Faraj, Avan Kamal. "Scaffolding EFL Students' Writing through the Writing Process Approach". *Journal of Education and Practice*, (2015). Vol. 6: 131-141
- Barkaoui, Khaled. "Teaching Writing to Second Language Learners: Insights from Theory and Research". *TESL Reporter* , (2007). Vol. 40: 35-48.
- Burke, Jhonson & Christensen, Lary. *Educational Research*. Boston: Pearson Education, 2004.
- Brodahl, C., & Hansen, N. K. "Education students' use of collaborative writing tools in collectively reflective essay papers". *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, (2014). Vol. 13: 91-120.
- Bruce W. Speck, *Facilitating Students' Collaborative Writing*. United States: Wiley Periodicals, Inc., 2002.
- Brown. *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy-2nd Edition*. New York: Longman, 2001.
- Brown, James D. *Understanding Research in Second Language Learning*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- Dörnyei, Z. "Psychological processes in cooperative language learning: Group dynamics and motivation". *The Modern Language Journal*, (1997). Vol. 81: 482-493.
- Elola, I., Oskoz, A." Collaborative writing: fostering foreign language and writing conventions development". *Language Learning & Technology*, (2010). Vol. 14: 51-71.
- Fosnot, C. T. *Enquiring teachers, enquiring learners: A constructivist approach for teaching*. New York: Teachers College Press, 1991.
- Harmer, Jeremy. *How to Teach English*. England: Longman, 2007.
- Harmer, Jeremy. *How to Teach Writing*. England: Pearson Education Ltd, 2004.
- Harmer, J. *The Practice of English Language Teaching-4th Edition*. London: Longman, 2007.

- Horwitz, E. et. al. "A graduate course focusing on the second language learner". *The Modern Language Journal*, (1997). Vol. 81: 518-526.
- Heaton, J.B. *Writing English Language Tests, New Edition*. London and New York: Longman Inc, 1988.
- Higgins, L., Flower, L., & Petraglia, J." Planning text together. The role of critical reflection in student collaboration". *Written Communication*, (1992). Vol. 9: 48–84.
- Hirvela, A. "Collaborative writing: Instruction and communities of readers and writers". *TESOL Journal*, (1999). Vol. 8: 7–12.
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., and Smith, K. A. Cooperative learning returns to college: What evidence is there that it works?. *Change*, (1998). Vol 30: 27-35.
- L.R, Gay. *Educational Research: Competencies for analysis and application*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1987.
- Laksmi, E. D. "Scaffolding Students' Writing in EFL Class: Implementing Process Approach". *TEFLIN Journal: A publication on the teaching and learning of English*, (2006). Vol. 17: 144-156.
- Matthews, R. S. *Collaborative learning: Creating knowledge with students*. In R. J. Menges, M. Weimer, and Associates (Eds.), *Teaching on solidground: Using scholarship to improve practice* (pp. 10 1-1 24). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass,1996.
- Montero, A. "What a feeling! Motivating EFL students through collaborative writing with poems". *English Teaching Forum*, (2005). Vol. 43: 36-38.
- Nelson, G. L., & Carson, J. G "ESL students' perceptions of effectiveness in peer response groups". *Journal of Second Language Writing*, (1998). Vol. 7: 113–131.
- Nelson, G. L., & Murphy, J. M. "Peer response groups: Do L2 writers use peer comments in revising their drafts?". *TESOL Quarterly*, (1993). Vol. 27: 135–141.
- Nunan, David. *Language Teaching Methodology, A Text Book for Teacher*. Sidney: Prentice Hall International, 1991.

Richards, J.C. and W. A. Renandya. *Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.

Sommers, N. "Revision Strategies of Student Writers and Experienced Adult Writers". *College Composition and Communication*, (1980). Vol. 31: 378-88.

Storch, N. "Patterns of interaction in ESL pair work". *Language Learning*, (2002). Vol. 52: 119–158.

Tompkins, G. E. *Teaching Writing: Balancing Process and Product*. Columbus: Merrill, 1994.